

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/006,567		12/10/2001	Jun Kotani	Q67556	Q67556 3902	
23373	7590	01/07/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				PENG, KUO LIANG		
WASHING				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1712		
				DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004	DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
no to the control of			S
Office Action Summary	10/006,567	KOTANI ET AL.	W
Office Action Cummary	Examiner		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kuo-Liang Peng	1712 correspondence address -	~
Period for Reply	,		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from c, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 O</u>	October 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. △ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document roughling from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language profusions	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicativity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(rst sentence of the specification of ovisional application has been receive ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No. <u>09/584,075</u> . ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional applic r in an Application Data served. d) and/or 121 since a spec	cation) Sheet. cific
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/006,567

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Applicants' amendment filed on October 6, 2003 was received. Claims 9-20 are deleted. Claims 21-32 are added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirose (US
 4 593 068) in view of Hagimori (WO 99/02534).

Hirose discloses a process for producing a contact adhesive composition comprising a polyether having at least one reactive silicon-containing group, a polymer derived from (meth)acrylate (Abstract and col. 2, line 34 to col. 5, line 28) and a curing catalyst (i.e., accelerator) (col. 9, lines 35-55). It is noted that c in formula (1) can be 1 (col. 2, line 68). Hirose further teaches that R¹ in formula (1) can be -CH₂- (col. 2, line 64), R² in formula (1) can be CH₃ (col. 2, lines 65-66) and R³ in formula (1) can be methyl (col. 3, line 29). Hirose further teaches the use of a method of synthesizing the polyether having at least one reactive silicon-containing group by hydrosilylating a polyether having an olefin group of the general formula (3) with a silicon hydride of the general formula (2) in the presence of a catalyst (col. 3, line 3 to col. 4 line 42). Furthermore, Reference example 1 illustrates one of the hydrosilylation reactions

Application/Control Number: 10/006,567

Art Unit: 1712

wherein no inert gas is used for blanketing the reaction mixture. Therefore, the reaction is carried out under atmosphere that is an oxygen-containing atmosphere.

The difference between Hirose and the present invention is the requirement of the use of a sulfur compound in the preparation of the polyether oligomer.

Hagimori teaches the use of sulfur compounds such as elemental sulfur, thiols, sulfides, sulfoxides, sulfones, and thioketones in order to accelerate the hydrosilylation reaction (page 3, lines 3-5 and page 6, lines 7-9). In light of the benefit mentioned, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use Hagimori's sulfur compounds into Hirose's process. The English translation of Hagimori has been requested. It will be available later upon Applicants' request.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 21-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The rejection of the instant claims is described in the previous paragraph.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (703) 306-5550. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1712

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

klp December 19, 2003

Kuo-Liang Peng Art Unit 1712